

Defining Drought on New Mexico Rangelands

Guide B-825

Nicholas K. Ashcroft and Samuel T. Smallidge¹

Cooperative Extension Service • College of Agricultural, Consumer and Environmental Sciences

INTRODUCTION

Drought is a frequent concern and challenge for people living in the Southwest, particularly for agricultural producers who rely on natural resources for their livelihoods. In relation to our food supply, it remains the one "unconquered ill" (H.E. Landsberg forward in Palmer, 1965). It may be argued that drought has a greater economic impact on humans than all other natural phenomena, including wildfires, tornadoes, and hurricanes. Drought and its influence on the availability of rangeland forage and water have shaped the livestock industry in the Southwest since the late 1500s (Schicke-

danz, 1980). It has shaped how New Mexicans rely on the land and what they produce from it.

Why should we define drought? Understanding drought and developing drought management plans begins with recognition. Better recognition and understanding lead to better-informed decisions about natural resources use and management. It also helps us become proactive and plan for—rather than just react to—drought conditions.

Definitions and categories of drought are influenced principally by duration and the amount of departure from long-term average precipitation (normal precipitation). Drought is generally defined as a prolonged and chronic shortage of precipitation relative to an average amount measured over a certain period of time. In parts of the world, drought can be considered an abnormal phenomenon. However, in the Southwest future droughts are a



certainty, although their timing, duration, and severity are unknown until the drought occurs. From a rangelands and natural resources perspective, drought may be defined as "a period without precipitation during which the soil water content is reduced to such an extent that plants suffer from lack of water" (Society for Range Management, 1998). No single definition or method of determining the onset, severity, and end of drought works under all circumstances or in all regions. Drought must be defined in relation to the region and purpose for which it is being applied.

Drought can be characterized into three interdependent categories. **Meteorological drought** is based on a deficit in short-term rainfall amounts relative to a long-term precipitation average for a defined area (National Weather Service, 2008). **Hydrological drought** is a period of limited

¹Respectively, Extension Rangeland Management Specialist and Extension Wildlife Specialist, Department of Extension Animal Sciences and Natural Resources, New Mexico State University.

precipitation that negatively affects water supplies. **Agricultural drought** is linked to meteorological and hydrological drought in that deficits in reservoir levels, rainfall, and soil moisture influence plant growth, productivity, and reproduction.

Turning these conceptual definitions of drought into useful tools for people that rely on natural resources can be accomplished using drought indices. Three common drought indices described in this guide are percent of normal, Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI), and Standard Precipitation Index (SPI). No drought indices are capable of determining the beginning or duration of the drought before it occurs. Timing, type and amount of precipitation, soil types, temperature, and wind are all important influences when considering impacts to rangeland vegetation. Time scales used for the indices can be daily, weekly, monthly, seasonal, or annual. Indices are useful, but are not spatially or temporally precise and cannot quantify impacts.

PERCENT OF NORMAL

Percent of normal is one of the simplest indices. It characterizes departure from normal precipitation as a percentage, calculated by dividing the measured precipitation by the long-term normal precipitation. Using the percent of normal method, drought is defined as when precipitation falls below 75% of normal precipitation for a period of time (Society for Range Management, 1989).

Time scale and location greatly influence interpretation of the indices. Seventy-five percent of normal for eastern Missouri represents different impacts to natural resources than 75% of normal for southern New Mexico. Likewise, less than 75% of normal precipitation during the growing season affects areas differently than the same during the dormant season.

The percent of normal index assumes a specific statistical distribution of recorded precipitation values for the selected long-term record period. While this distribution may be met when using the long-term precipitation dataset, it is usually not met when time periods are short, such as for daily, weekly, monthly, or seasonal precipitation data sets. That is, normal precipitation (long-term average or mean) may not be the same as median precipitation—the midpoint value of precipitation

occurrences in the long-term climate record. Variability in the precipitation records over time and location make it impossible to compare different regions (Hayes, 1996). Violation of assumptions and variability in precipitation records make this method less reliable in identifying drought.

PALMER DROUGHT SEVERITY INDEX

Palmer (1965) developed the landmark Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) to characterize deficiencies in water spatially and temporally to support planning and decision-making in the context of water availability. The index uses previous precipitation and moisture supply and demand in a hydrological accounting system (Heim, 2002). Palmer (1965) described it as a meteorological drought index, but makes references to agricultural and hydrological drought (Alley, 1984). This is because the PDSI uses precipitation, evapotranspiration, and soil moisture conditions to calculate the index. Palmer's index represents one of the most widely used regional drought indices, particularly among agricultural producers. PDSI is useful for range managers because it uses parameters that are associated with on-the-ground vegetation conditions. The PDSI is one of the few indices that allows for direct comparisons between different regions (Alley, 1984), although the index's accuracy is suspect under some circumstances (Guttman, 1998).

While the PDSI has a long-standing record of use, there are shortcomings with the method that have plagued it since its debut. In particular, PDSI makes assumptions that do not apply well to the Southwest. It uses a water-balance approach in its computation where all precipitation in a month is consumed to meet evapotranspiration, soil moisture demand, or runoff. Human impacts to the water balance, such as irrigation, are not included in the calculation (Hayes, 1996). Further, there is a lag associated with data inputs and the calculated PDSI such that previous months' precipitation, up to 108 months, may influence the current calculation (Guttman, 1998).

The PDSI uses a 2-layer soil model and assumes that moisture is not transferred from the top layer to the lower layer until the top layer is saturated, and that runoff does not occur until both soil layers are saturated (Heim, 2002). It also assumes that the two soil layer capacities are independent of seasonal or annual changes (Alley, 1984).

PDSI drought severity classes are arbitrary (Alley, 1984) and were based on the original study areas in central Iowa and western Kansas (Hayes, 1996). The index is sensitive to the "available water content" of a soil type and therefore may not be appropriate across an entire National Climate Data Center climate division (Hayes, 1996).

STANDARD PRECIPITATION INDEX

The Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) was designed to quantify the precipitation deficit for multiple time scales (McKee et al., 1993). The SPI has less complicated data requirements than PDSI. It is also not affected by the magnitude of mean rainfall, making comparisons among locations possible (Agnew, 2000). The SPI is calculated by transforming the long-term mean precipitation for a given area in such a way that the mean equals zero. Therefore, calculated SPI values for a given time period greater than zero represent above-average precipitation and SPI values less than zero represent a deficit in precipitation. A drought event occurs when SPI is continuously negative and the SPI is less than or equal to -1.0 and ends when it becomes positive (McKee et al., 1993). Drought magnitude is the positive sum of the SPI for each month during the drought event. (Hayes, 2007). SPI is widely used by state and federal agencies in assessing periods and severity of drought. The strengths of the SPI are that it is simple to compute, spatially consistent, and probabilistic, making it useful for assessing risk and making decisions (Guttman, 1998).

The SPI defines drought as any period in which the SPI is continuously below zero, meaning that drought will occur 50% of the time. Agnew (2000) argues that drought is an abnormal event, and that significant climatic changes must occur for "persistent drought" to be recognized. Common drought leads to exaggerated claims regarding climate change (Agnew 2000) and are a function of assumptions made in calculating SPI. Moreover, this confuses drought with desiccation, a period

of aridization occurring over decades. In dry climates, appropriate use and interpretation of the SPI is complicated, especially for short time periods (Wu et al., 2007). This is because of long periods in which no precipitation is recorded, and the seasonality of precipitation events. The SPI and PDSI perform similarly at the 12-month interval (Guttman, 1998). Users of SPI are cautioned to focus on duration of drought events rather than severity (Wu et al., 2007). SPI is a statistical product, and as data sets change through space and time the values will change, making it difficult to understand the meaning of the beginning and end of the drought based on varying SPI values (Wu et al., 2007). Wu et al. (2007) further suggested that SPI is best used as a research tool rather than as an operational index for drought that attempts to link input data to ecosystem functioning.

SUMMARY

Regardless of the drought indices selected, understanding the strengths and weaknesses of each will help you make better-informed decisions within the limitations of the indices. The percent of normal index is simply computed and understood, but is not capable of comparison among locations and may be difficult to relate to on-the-ground conditions. The Palmer Drought Severity Index has been widely used in the United States, but is complex and difficult to interpret and is not as comparable among locations as previously thought. The Standardized Precipitation Index is simple to calculate, comparable among locations, and probabilistic, making it useful for decision-making. However, it is difficult to interpret in arid climates and over short time periods, such as seasonal or shorter. Despite these shortcomings, calibrating one of these indices to specific vegetation conditions on an individual ranch is possible and useful for natural resources management. However, it may be more important to be engaged in monitoring precipitation and vegetation trends on rangelands than to be overly reliant on any particular index.

ONLINE RESOURCES

New Mexico Climate Center: http://weather.nmsu.edu/

National Drought Mitigation Center (NDMC): http://drought.unl.edu/

NDMC Vegetation Drought Response Index: http://vegdri.unl.edu/

National Weather Service Climate Prediction Center:

http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/ Drought/

North American Drought Monitor: http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/temp-and-precip/ drought/nadm/maps

Colorado Climate Center list of publications: http://ccc.atmos.colostate.edu/ centerpublications.php

LITERATURE CITED

Agnew, C.T. 2000. Using the SPI to identify drought [Online]. *Drought Network News*. Available at http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/droughtnetnews/1

Alley, W.M. 1984. The Palmer Drought Severity Index: Limitations and assumptions. *Journal of Climate and Applied Meteorology*, 23, 1100–1109.

Guttman, N.B. 1998. Comparing the Palmer Drought Index and the Standardized Precipitation Index. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 34, 113–121.

Hayes, M.J. 2007. Drought indices. *Intermountain West Climate Summary*, 3(6), 2–6. Available at http://www.colorado.edu/climate/iwcs/archive/IWCS_2007_July_feature.pdf

Heim, R.R. 2002. A review of twentieth-century drought indices used in the United States. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 83, 1149–1165.

McKee, T.B., N.J. Doesken, and J. Kleist. 1993. The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales. Paper presented at the American Meteorological Society Eighth Conference on Applied Climatology, January 17–22, 1993, Anaheim, CA.

Palmer, W.C. 1965. Meteorological drought [Research Paper No. 45]. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Weather Bureau, Office of Climatology.

Schickedanz, J.G. 1980. History of grazing in the Southwest. In *Grazing management systems for Southwest rangelands – A symposium*, (pp. 1–9). Las Cruces: New Mexico State University.

Society for Range Management. 1989. *Glossary of terms used in range management*, 3rd ed. Denver, CO: Author.

Society for Range Management. 1998. *Glossary of terms used in range management*, 4th ed. Denver, CO: Author.

Wu, H., M.D. Svoboda, M.J. Hayes, D.A. Wilhite, and F. Wen. 2007. Appropriate application of the Standardized Precipitation Index in arid locations and dry seasons. *International Journal of Climate*, 27, 65–79.



Nicholas K. Ashcroft is the Extension Rangeland Management Specialist in the Department of Extension Animal Sciences and Natural Resources at New Mexico State University. He has degrees in beef production management, agricultural economics, and range sciences. His Extension programs focus on educating New Mexico producers and youth on rangeland policy, ecology, and management.

Contents of publications may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. For permission to use publications for other purposes, contact pubs@nmsu.edu or the authors listed on the publication.

New Mexico State University is an equal opportunity/affirmative action employer and educator. NMSU and the U.S. Department of Agriculture cooperating.